

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

TELEPHONE: (408) 720-8300

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

FACSIMILE: (408) 720-8383

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****FACSIMILE COVER SHEET****FEB 22 2005**

Deliver to: Ly, Nghi H., USPTO Art Group: 2686
 Facsimile No.: 703-872-9306 Date: February 22, 2005
 From: Jordan M. Becker, Reg. No. 39,602
 Our Docket No.: 33991049 Number of pages 4 including this sheet.
 : 09/895,521 : 6/29/2001
 Docket Due Date(s): 4/26/2005

Enclosed are the following documents:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment: (pgs) | <input type="checkbox"/> Issue Fee Transmittal |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Brief (pgs) | <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Appeal |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Application: (pgs) w/cover & abstract | <input type="checkbox"/> Petition for: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Assignment & Cover Sheet (pgs) | <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Continued Examination (RCE) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Facsimile | <input type="checkbox"/> Reply Brief (pgs) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) | <input type="checkbox"/> Request & Certification Under 35 USC 122(b)(2)(B)(i) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Declaration & POA (pgs) | <input type="checkbox"/> Request to Rescind Previous Nonpublication Request |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drawings: sheets, figures | <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Notice of Missing Parts & Formalities Letter |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time: | <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Written Opinion (pgs) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal (in duplicate) | <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer |
| <input type="checkbox"/> IDS & PTO/SB/08 (pgs) | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmittal of Publication Fee Due |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Response to Final Office Action Under 37 C.F.R. 1.116 (3 pgs). | <input type="checkbox"/> Transmittal Letter |

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.81)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Julie Arango
 Julie Arango

02/22/2005
 Date

Confidentiality Note: The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information from the law firm of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman which is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

If you do not receive all the pages, or if there is any difficulty in receiving, please call: (408) 720-8300 and ask for Julie Arango.

Attorney Docket No.: 3399P049

Patent

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application for:

Jennifer O. Yiu et al.

Serial No.: 09/895,521

Filing Date: June 29, 2001

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
DYNAMICALLY CONTROLLING
RELEASE OF PRIVATE
INFORMATION OVER A NETWORK
FROM A WIRELESS DEVICEMail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Examiner: Ly, Nghi H.

Art Unit: 2686

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 22 2005

<u>Certificate of Transmission</u>	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on:	
<u>February 22, 2005</u>	
(Date of Transmission)	
<u>Julie Arango</u>	
(Printed name)	
<u>Julie Arango</u>	<u>2/22/05</u>
(Signature)	(Date)

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Dear Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed on January 26, 2005, please reconsider the present application in view of the following remarks.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections. Applicants maintain all of the arguments in their response to the previous Office Action, filed on August 30, 2004. The arguments submitted in that response (pages 9-13) are incorporated into this response by reference in their entirety.

In addition, on pages 7-8 of the Final Office Action the Examiner responds to Applicants' previous remarks. Applicants' would like to address the Examiner's remarks as follows: